**To:** Emshwiller, John[john.emshwiller@wsj.com]

From: Whitley, Christopher Sent: Wed 12/9/2015 9:36:13 PM

Subject: RE: WSJ Story Inquiry re West Lake and Lead-210

I did indeed see it, John. I have already forwarded your initial email, and I will forward this followup, to my colleagues Ben Washburn and Curtis Carey, who are now handling inquiries related to this site. You should expect to hear from one of them soon.

## **Chris Whitley**

**Public Affairs Specialist** 

U.S. EPA Region 7 Office of Public Affairs

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, KS 66219

913-551-7394

From: Emshwiller, John [mailto:john.emshwiller@wsj.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:34 PM

**To:** Whitley, Christopher <Whitley.Christopher@epa.gov> **Subject:** Fwd: WSJ Story Inquiry re West Lake and Lead-210

Chris,

Just wanted to make sure that you saw this email I sent yesterday. Thanks and regards, John

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Emshwiller**, **John** < <u>john.emshwiller@wsj.com</u>>

Date: Tue, Dec 8, 2015 at 12:20 PM

Subject: WSJ Story Inquiry re West Lake and Lead-210

To: Whitley.christopher@epa.gov

Cc: John Emshwiller < john.emshwiller@wsj.com >

Chris,

I hope you've been well since we last talked. In connection with a story I am working on, I'd like to know if the EPA has done any sampling for Lead-210 at or in the vicinity of the West Lake landfill. If sampling has been done, what were the results? If sampling hasn't been done, why not?

Among other things, I have been reading a 1993 DOE report concerning contamination in the St. Louis area from the nuclear-weapons-related waste produced by the work at Mallinckrodt. A paragraph from that report is below. It indicates that the biggest health risk from the Mallinckrodt nuclear waste came from Lead-210. Since the story I am looking into could run fairly soon, I'd appreciate any response you could get in the next day or so.

Thanks and best, John

## D.4.1 Radiological Risks

The estimated risks associated with the produce ingestion pathway from exposure to radioactive contaminants range from  $2.2 \times 10$ -4 for the residential vicinity property (current or future resident) to  $2.6 \times 10$ -2 for the HISS future resident (Tables D.5 and D.6). An additional risk of  $1.7 \times 10$ -2 would be incurred by the HISS future resident from exposure to contaminants iv. the waste pile (Table D.6). These risks all exceed the target risk range of  $1 \times 10$ -6 to  $1 \times 10$ -4. For each property, approximately 90% of the risk is contributed by lead-210, with most of the remaining risk attributable to actinium-227 and protactinium-231 (Table D.5).

--

John Emshwiller

Senior Special Writer

Wall Street Journal

407 N. Maple Drive, Suite 104

Beverly Hills, Calif. 90210

w- <u>424-204-4817</u>

m-213-718-0521

--

John Emshwiller

Senior Special Writer

Wall Street Journal

407 N. Maple Drive, Suite 104

Beverly Hills, Calif. 90210

w- 424-204-4817

m-213-718-0521